

COSCO SHIPPING Ports Limited Container Throughput in May 2020

HIGHLIGHTS

May 2020 throughput

- For the month of May, CSPL recorded a 3.7% yoy decrease in total throughput (excluding QPI). Furthermore, as CSPL has already disposed of its assets in Yangzhou Yuanyang, Zhangjiagang Win Hanverky and Nanjing Longtan Terminals, CSPL recorded a 0.3% yoy increase in total throughput excluding these 3 terminals (as well as excluding QPI). Throughput of these 3 terminals has also been excluded for all of the percentage change data below.
- Subsidiaries -15.9% yoy
- Non-subsidiaries (excluding QPI) +6.0% yoy
- Overseas portfolio -5.1% yoy
- Greater China portfolio (excluding QPI) +2.6% yoy

2020 5 months YTD throughput

- In the 5 months YTD, CSPL recorded a 5.2% yoy decrease in total throughput (excluding QPI). Excluding the 3 terminals mentioned above (as well as excluding QPI), CSPL only recorded a 1.3% yoy decrease in total throughput. Throughput of these 3 terminals has also been excluded for all of the percentage change data below.
- Subsidiaries -11.7% yoy
- Non-subsidiaries (excluding QPI) +2.2% yoy
- Overseas portfolio +0.5% yoy
- Greater China portfolio (excluding QPI) -2.0% yoy

Container throughput of port companies

		Monthly Throughput ('000 TEU)			Year-to-date Throughput ('000 TEU)		
		May 2020	May 2019	y-o-y	Jan- May 2020	Jan- May 2019	y-o-y
Bohai Rim (Excluding QPI)		1,785.1	1,592.6	12.1%	8,071.2	7,444.6	8.4%
1	Dalian Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	466.0	740.5	-37.1%	2,429.0	3,504.9	-30.7%
2	Dalian Dagang Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	1.4	1.4	1.6%	6.9	6.9	-1.1%
3	Tianjin Port Euroasia International Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	281.6	251.4	12.0%	1,151.6	1,130.9	1.8%
4	Tianjin Five Continents Int'l Container Terminal Co., Ltd. ⁽²⁾	n.a.	268.0	n.a.	n.a.	1,117.4	n.a.
5	Tianjin Container Terminals ⁽²⁾	712.2	n.a.	n.a.	2,934.2	n.a.	n.a.
6	Yingkou Terminals	220.1	209.1	5.2%	1,064.5	1,115.1	-4.5%
7	Jinzhou New Age Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	58.4	68.7	-15.0%	252.0	307.9	-18.2%
8	Qinhuangdao Port New Harbour Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	45.3	53.5	-15.2%	233.2	261.5	-10.8%
Yangtze River Delta		1,215.9	1,700.3	-28.5%	5,645.5	8,256.8	-31.6%
9	Shanghai Pudong Int'l Container Terminals Ltd.	205.2	210.0	-2.3%	940.7	1,014.5	-7.3%
10	Shanghai Mingdong Container Terminals Limited	528.6	500.2	5.7%	2,405.3	2,433.1	-1.1%
11	Ningbo Yuan Dong Terminals Ltd.	261.9	282.5	-7.3%	1,225.5	1,311.1	-6.5%
12	Lianyungang New Oriental International Terminals Co., Ltd.	81.1	245.0	-66.9%	383.6	1,227.9	-68.8%
13	Zhangjiagang Win Hanverky Container Terminal Co., Ltd. ⁽⁴⁾	n.a.	50.5	n.a.	48.0	279.4	-82.8%
14	Yangzhou Yuanyang Int'l Ports Co., Ltd. ⁽⁴⁾	n.a.	38.3	n.a.	31.8	189.1	-83.2%
15	Nanjing Port Longtan Container Co., Ltd. ⁽⁴⁾	n.a.	257.6	n.a.	n.a.	1,234.5	n.a.
16	Taicang International Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	11.2	24.5	-54.4%	63.7	192.9	-67.0%
17	Nantong Tonghai Port Co., Ltd.	128.0	91.7	39.5%	546.9	374.3	46.1%
Southeast Coast and others		402.2	531.0	-24.2%	2,045.2	2,376.1	-13.9%
18	Xiamen Ocean Gate Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	121.9	187.8	-35.1%	708.5	854.7	-17.1%
19	Quan Zhou Pacific Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	114.0	146.7	-22.3%	460.4	630.2	-26.9%
20	Jinjiang Pacific Ports Development Co., Ltd.	48.5	52.5	-7.8%	218.3	216.1	1.0%
21	Kao Ming Container Terminal Corporation	117.8	143.9	-18.1%	658.1	675.2	-2.5%

Pearl River Delta		2,123.4	2,215.0	-4.1%	9,733.4	10,816.0	-10.0%
22	Yantian Int'l Container Terminals Ltd.	888.0	996.3	-10.9%	4,186.4	5,001.7	-16.3%
23	Guangzhou Terminals	982.8	945.5	3.9%	4,339.6	4,540.2	-4.4%
24	Hong Kong Terminals	252.5	273.2	-7.6%	1,207.4	1,274.1	-5.2%
Southwest Coast		450.3	131.5	242.4%	1,808.5	582.4	210.5%
25	Beibu Gulf Port Co., Ltd ⁽³⁾	302.5	n.a.	n.a.	1,222.0	n.a.	n.a.
26	Guangxi Qinzhou International Container Terminal Co., Ltd	147.8	131.5	12.4%	586.5	582.4	0.7%
Overseas		2,303.4	2,428.3	-5.1%	11,390.6	11,336.5	0.5%
27	Piraeus Container Terminal S.A.	426.7	453.1	-5.8%	2,035.5	2,105.2	-3.3%
28	CSP Abu Dhabi Terminal L.L.C.	57.5	31.1	84.8%	276.1	36.4	658.1%
29	Suez Canal Container Terminal S.A.E.	292.8	262.6	11.5%	1,448.1	1,134.2	27.7%
30	Kumport Liman	101.9	104.7	-2.6%	506.4	502.5	0.8%
31	Antwerp Gateway NV	167.5	189.2	-11.5%	851.7	895.0	-4.8%
32	COSCO-PSA Terminal Private Ltd.	434.0	427.3	1.6%	2,061.9	2,042.0	1.0%
33	Busan Port Terminal Co. Ltd.	308.2	307.3	0.3%	1,538.0	1,510.2	1.8%
34	SSA Terminals (Seattle), LLC	20.3	15.8	27.9%	86.8	63.0	37.7%
35	Euromax Terminal Rotterdam B.V.	184.6	256.5	-28.0%	973.6	1,283.7	-24.2%
36	Reefer Terminal S.P.A.	3.5	6.0	-41.8%	23.4	23.8	-1.5%
37	COSCO SHIPPING Ports (Spain) Terminals S.L.U.	249.0	342.2	-27.2%	1,331.2	1,601.3	-16.9%
38	CSP Zeebrugge Terminal NV	57.3	32.5	76.6%	257.9	139.1	85.4%
Total ⁽¹⁾		8,280.3	8,598.7	-3.7%	38,694.5	40,812.4	-5.2%

Note:

- (1) CSPL held 19.79% of Qingdao Ports International Co. Ltd. ("QPI") as of the end of March 2020, the terminal throughput of QPI was not included in this table mainly because QPI doesn't announce monthly throughput.
- (2) Since merger of Tianjin Five Continents ("TFC") and Tianjin Container Terminal ("TCT") at the end of August 2019, throughput would have been counted into TCT starting from Sept., so no throughput comparison for TFC.
- (3) Throughput data for Beibu Gulf Port (excluding Qinzhou Container Terminal) has been included since January 2020.
- (4) The Company has completed the disposal of Nanjing Longtan Terminal, Zhangjiagang Terminal and Yangzhou Yuanyang Terminal. Consequently, throughput of Nanjing Longtan Terminal has been excluded since January 2020 and throughput of the other two terminals has been excluded from the Company's throughput data since February 2020.



For further inquiries, please contact:

Investor Relations Department

Ricky NG

General Manager

Tel: 2809-8131

Email: ricky.ng@coscoshipping.com

William CHIU

Manager

Tel: 2809-8102

Email: william.chiu@coscoshipping.com