

## **COSCO SHIPPING Ports Limited Container Throughput in April 2021**

### **HIGHLIGHTS**

#### **April 2021 throughput**

- For the month of April, driven by strong domestic and international demand, CSPL recorded a 10.2% YOY growth in total throughput (excluding QPI). Especially, throughput from the regions such as Southeast Coast and others, Pearl River Delta and Southwest Coast in April further recovered, which posted a YOY growth of 20.9%, 19.3% and 23.2%, respectively.
- Subsidiaries +6.7% yoy
- Non-subsidiaries (excluding QPI) +11.2% yoy
- Overseas portfolio +6.6% yoy
- Greater China portfolio (excluding QPI) +11.6% yoy

#### **2021 YTD throughput**

- Benefiting from further global economic recovery, CSPL recorded a 9.9% YOY increase in total throughput (excluding QPI) in the first 4 months of 2021.
- Subsidiaries +5.6% yoy
- Non-subsidiaries (excluding QPI) +11.1% yoy
- Overseas portfolio +3.1% yoy
- Greater China portfolio (excluding QPI) +12.8% yoy

### Container throughput of port companies

		Monthly Throughput (’000 TEU)			Year-to-date Throughput (’000 TEU)		
		Apr 2021	Apr 2020	y-o-y	Apr 2021	Apr 2020	y-o-y
<b>Bohai Rim (Excluding QPI)</b>		<b>1,723.1</b>	<b>1,715.5</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>6,106.6</b>	<b>6,286.2</b>	<b>-2.9%</b>
1	Dalian Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	290.5	471.1	-38.3%	1,058.3	1,962.9	-46.1%
2	Dalian Dagang Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	1.9	1.6	21.0%	5.6	5.4	2.9%
3	Tianjin Port Euroasia International Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	312.0	251.7	23.9%	1,067.9	870.0	22.8%
4	Tianjin Container Terminals	783.0	671.3	16.6%	2,710.6	2,222.0	22.0%
5	Yingkou Terminals	219.3	220.0	-0.3%	829.9	844.4	-1.7%
6	Jinzhou New Age Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	64.2	52.9	21.5%	227.6	193.6	17.6%
7	Qinhuangdao Port New Harbour Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	52.3	47.0	11.2%	206.6	187.8	10.0%
<b>Yangtze River Delta</b>		<b>1,274.7</b>	<b>1,183.9</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>4,954.2</b>	<b>4,429.5</b>	<b>11.8%</b>
8	Shanghai Pudong Int'l Container Terminals Ltd.	210.4	198.0	6.2%	805.9	735.4	9.6%
9	Shanghai Mingdong Container Terminals Limited	563.3	503.0	12.0%	2,238.6	1,876.7	19.3%
10	Ningbo Yuan Dong Terminals Ltd.	241.8	247.4	-2.3%	990.4	963.6	2.8%
11	Lianyungang New Oriental International Terminals Co., Ltd.	92.8	85.9	8.1%	339.1	302.5	12.1%
12	Zhangjiagang Win Hanverky Container Terminal Co., Ltd. <sup>(3)</sup>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	48.0	n.a.
13	Yangzhou Yuanyang Int'l Ports Co., Ltd <sup>(3)</sup>	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	31.8	n.a.
14	Taicang International Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	48.8	18.2	167.2%	111.9	52.5	113.1%
15	Nantong Tonghai Port Co., Ltd.	117.7	131.4	-10.4%	468.4	419.0	11.8%
<b>Southeast Coast and others</b>		<b>509.7</b>	<b>421.7</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>1,872.0</b>	<b>1,643.0</b>	<b>13.9%</b>
16	Xiamen Ocean Gate Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	204.4	136.5	49.8%	798.4	586.5	36.1%
17	Quan Zhou Pacific Container Terminal Co., Ltd.	101.9	109.6	-7.0%	366.1	346.4	5.7%
18	Jinjiang Pacific Ports Development Co., Ltd.	42.6	53.8	-21.0%	106.4	169.8	-37.3%
19	Kao Ming Container Terminal Corporation	160.8	121.8	32.0%	601.1	540.3	11.3%
<b>Pearl River Delta</b>		<b>2,397.8</b>	<b>2,010.3</b>	<b>19.3%</b>	<b>9,387.4</b>	<b>7,610.0</b>	<b>23.4%</b>
20	Yantian Int'l Container Terminals Ltd.	1,142.2	784.1	45.7%	4,809.5	3,298.3	45.8%

21	Guangzhou Terminals	991.5	978.2	1.4%	3,560.2	3,356.8	6.1%
22	Hong Kong Terminals	264.1	247.9	6.5%	1,017.8	954.8	6.6%
<b>Southwest Coast</b>		<b>467.8</b>	<b>379.8</b>	<b>23.2%</b>	<b>1,731.7</b>	<b>1,358.2</b>	<b>27.5%</b>
23	Guangxi Qinzhou International Container Terminal Co., Ltd <sup>(4)</sup>	n.a.	125.0	n.a.	n.a.	438.7	n.a.
24	Beibu Gulf Port Co., Ltd <sup>(2)</sup>	97.7	254.8	-61.7%	414.9	919.5	-54.9%
25	Guangxi Beibu Gulf Terminal <sup>(4)</sup>	370.1	n.a.	n.a.	1,316.8	n.a.	n.a.
<b>Overseas</b>		<b>2,412.4</b>	<b>2,263.2</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>9,371.4</b>	<b>9,087.3</b>	<b>3.1%</b>
26	Piraeus Container Terminal S.A.	413.2	392.9	5.2%	1,558.2	1,608.8	-3.1%
27	COSCO SHIPPING Ports (Spain) Terminals S.L.U.	302.9	280.8	7.8%	1,163.8	1,082.2	7.5%
28	CSP Zeebrugge Terminal NV	67.8	47.3	43.1%	286.7	200.5	43.0%
29	Suez Canal Container Terminal S.A.E.	303.3	273.6	10.8%	1,168.9	1,155.2	1.2%
30	Kumport Liman	100.5	96.6	4.0%	405.9	404.5	0.3%
31	Antwerp Gateway NV	180.5	175.3	3.0%	722.0	684.2	5.5%
32	COSCO-PSA Terminal Private Ltd.	389.6	414.4	-6.0%	1,592.2	1,627.9	-2.2%
33	Busan Port Terminal Co. Ltd.	332.3	314.4	5.7%	1,275.5	1,229.8	3.7%
34	SSA Terminals (Seattle), LLC	25.7	14.7	74.6%	84.9	66.5	27.5%
35	Euromax Terminal Rotterdam B.V.	229.9	188.4	22.0%	870.6	789.0	10.3%
36	Reefer Terminal S.P.A.	5.9	4.5	30.3%	23.5	20.0	17.7%
37	CSP Abu Dhabi Terminal L.L.C.	60.9	60.2	1.1%	219.2	218.7	0.3%
<b>Total <sup>(1)</sup></b>		<b>8,785.6</b>	<b>7,974.5</b>	<b>10.2%</b>	<b>33,423.3</b>	<b>30,414.2</b>	<b>9.9%</b>

Note:

- (1) CSPL held 19.79% of Qingdao Ports International Co. Ltd. ("QPI") as of the end of Dec 2020, the terminal throughput of QPI was not included in this table mainly because QPI doesn't announce monthly throughput.
- (2) Throughput data for Beibu Gulf Port (excluding Guangxi Qinzhou Int'l Container Terminal and Guangxi Beibu Gulf Terminal) has been included since January 2020.
- (3) The Company has completed the disposal of Zhangjiagang Terminal and Yangzhou Yuanyang Terminal. Consequently, throughput of these two terminals has been excluded from the Company's throughput data since February 2020.
- (4) Since CSPL acquired a 26% equity interest in Guangxi Beibu Gulf Terminal through injection of its entire 40% equity interest in Guangxi Qinzhou Int'l Container Terminal into Guangxi Beibu Gulf Terminal, throughput would only have been counted into Guangxi Beibu Gulf Terminal starting from Dec. 2020, so there will be no throughput comparison for Guangxi Qinzhou Int'l Container Terminal starting from Dec. 2020.



*For further inquiries, please contact:*

**Investor Relations Department**

Ricky NG

General Manager

Tel: 2809-8131

Email: ricky.ng@coscoshipping.com

William CHIU

Manager

Tel: 2809-8102

Email: william.chiu@coscoshipping.com